



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,326		12/31/2003	Jeffrey O. Saunders	VPI/02-05 US	4684
27916	7590	04/05/2006		EXAM	INER
		CEUTICALS INC	TRUONG, TAMTHOM NGO		
	ERLY STRI OGE. MA	EET 02139-4242	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			1624	

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

t- ,-		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/750,3	26	SAUNDERS ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examine	•	Art Unit					
		Tamthom	N. Truong	1624					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	ation appears on the	e cover sheet with the d	correspondence address					
A SHOWHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIN IN IT IS A STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIN IN IT IS A STATUTORY PERIOD FOR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after that term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF TH 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev ication. tory period will apply and w II, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tir ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on .							
•	•) This action is n	on-final.						
•—	Since this application is in condition fo	•		osecution as to the merits is					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the app	plication.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)🛛	Claim(s) 1-16 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)□	The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner.							
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		objected to by the	Examiner.					
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. No	ote the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r foreian priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			, (-, (,-					
,-	1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have bee	n received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority do			ion No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of		• •						
	application from the International	•		_					
* S	see the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certi	fied copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment	• •		4) Dintanday Over-	(DTO 412)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	D-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate					
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT		5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Pape S. Patent and Ti	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:						

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Group 1: Claims 1-7 and 9 (part of each), drawn to compounds of formula (I)

 wherein A is aryl; pharmaceutical composition thereof; classified in classes 514

 and 544, various subclasses depending on substituents. Further restriction and/or
 election of species will be required if this group is elected.
- Group 2: Claims 1-7 and 9 (part of each), drawn to compounds of formula (I) wherein A is aryl; pharmaceutical composition thereof; classified in classes 514 and 544, various subclasses depending on substituents. Further restriction and/or election of species will be required if this group is elected.
- Group 3: Claims 8 and 9 (part of each), drawn to compounds of formula (II); pharmaceutical composition thereof; classified in classes 514 and 548, various subclasses depending on substituents. Further restriction and/or election of species will be required if this group is elected.
- Group 4: Claims 10-15, drawn to a method of treating or lessening various diseases, classified in classes 514, 540 and 544, various subclasses depending on substituents. Further restriction and/or election of species will be required if this group is elected
- Group 5: Claim 16 is drawn to an implantable medical device; classified in class 424, subclass 443.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,326

Art Unit: 1624

Inventions of Groups 1-3 are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are distinct from each other by the fused ring represented by A.

Inventions of Groups 1-3 have a core *pyrimidine-diones*. Such a core does not sufficiently define the invention, and is not a contribution to the art. Thus, the Markush group of formula I is improper. The fused ring A determines the *bicyclic core* that gives compounds of each group their unique physical, chemical properties and biological activities. Thus, a reference anticipated or rendered obvious compounds of one group would not do so to those of other groups. Therefore, a separate search is required for each group.

Note, a preliminary search in EAST yields a total of 11,908 hits which clearly shows an overwhelming number of references for consideration.

The invention of Group 4 is drawn to a method of treating a disease modulated by α-1A/B, which requires additional search and examination beyond the scope of the claimed compound. A reference reading on the compounds would not necessarily read on the claimed method. The invention of Group 5 is drawn to an implantable device which requires additional search and examination.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, and to search as well as examining the 5 distinct inventions would impose a serious burden on the examiner in charge of the invention, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,326

Art Unit: 1624

Rejoinder for method claims: The examiner has required restriction between product and method claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn method claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Method claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined method claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined method claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and method claims may be maintained. Withdrawn method claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the method claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double

Application/Control Number: 10/750,326

Art Unit: 1624

patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Due to the complexity of the grouping, the restriction is presented in writing. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/750,326 Page 6

Art Unit: 1624

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamthom N. Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-0676. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tamthom N. Truong

Examiner
Art Unit 1624

*** 4-3-06

EMILY BERNHARDT

PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 1600